

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,316	12/10/2001	Bernhard A.M. Deutsch	SI01-019	4752
21495 7	7590 01/15/2004		EXAMINER	
CORNING CABLE SYSTEMS LLC			PRASAD, CHANDRIKA	
POBOX 489 HICKORY, NC 28603			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2839	7 2/11
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		T		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summers		10/016,316	DEUTSCH ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Chandrika Prasad	2839		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE I - External form of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staticated period by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. I.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tined the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day downlearly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	November 2003			
		s action is non-final.			
3)	····				
Dispositi	ion of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
	ion Papers	•			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 					
Attachmen					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/016,316

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendments

1. The reply filed 11/05/03 consists of amendments to claims 1, 6-9, 14-16, English translation of the priority document and remarks related to rejection of claims. The claims are not allowable as explained below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 10, 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mukasa.

Mukasa (Figures 1-7) shows an optical waveguide cable for transmitting optical signals according to wavelength division multiplex technology having a first section with fiber 6a of the first type, a section with fibers 6b of the second type and a third section with fibers of the third type wherein the mode field diameter and dispersion characteristics of the fibers of the first type is greater than those of the fibers of the second and third types, the mode field diameter and dispersion characteristics of the fibers of the second type is greater than that of the fibers of the third type. The cable is connected to a transmitter by a pigtail section. The second section is arranged between

Application/Control Number: 10/016,316

Art Unit: 2839

the first and third sections and the mode filed diameter of the first type is greater than 8 μm at 1550 nm. A transition piece is used in between the different sections of the fibers.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mukasa.

Mukasa shows all the features of these claims as described in Paragraph 3 above except the size and dispersion characteristics of the various sections. The instant invention does not provide any reasons or specific problems to be solved by having a specific size or dispersion characteristics. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to make the various sections of a specific size or characteristics because it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

6. Claims 11-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mukasa.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,316

Art Unit: 2839

Mukasa shows all the features of these claims as described in Paragraph 3 above except the third section being the same as the first section and the first and the second section being of the same type and the fibers being ribbons or bundles. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to make the third section and/or the second section same as the first section because this would require a mere duplication of an essential part, which involves only routine skill in the art. Furthermore, the instant invention does not provide any reasons or specific problems to be solved by having a ribbon cable or a bundle cable. Such cables are well known and widely used in optical fiber connections. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to use such widely used cables in order to provide a higher capacity of signal transmission as is well known in the art.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/016,316 Page 5

Art Unit: 2839

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

9. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Plaza 4, Fourth Floor (receptionist)

2201 South Clark Place, Arlington, Virginia

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad at (703) 308-0977. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild, can be reached at (703) 308-2710. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 308-1782.

Chandrika Prasad Primary Examiner January 9, 2004